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Abstract

The plasma radial electric field (Er) has been changed by applying an n = 1 counter-rotating resonant magnetic perturbation (RMP) field with a frequency of 5 kHz in ohmic plasmas on TEXTOR. The change in the Er (∆Er) is negative, different from the observations in previous experiments where ∆Er was always positive when a static or low frequency (∼1 kHz) rotating RMP field was applied in the plasma on TEXTOR. The Er profile in the present experiment shows two distinct evolution stages. In the first stage, ∆Er from the q = 2 to q = 3 surfaces have a similar decrease as the amplitude of the 5 kHz counter-rotating field increases. In the second stage, the decrease rate of ∆Er is faster for the positions closer to the q = 2 surface. As a result, the Er around the q = 2 surface has a significant change in this second stage while no change of Er is observed near the q = 3 surface even after the excitation of an m/n = 2/1 tearing mode. A reduced MHD code, 4PC, has been used to model the experiment. Two simulations have been performed. The first one is by applying a single 2/1 perturbation while both, 2/1 and 3/1 perturbations, have been applied in the second simulation. The result from the second simulation is qualitatively consistent with the experimental observations while the first simulation including only a single 2/1 perturbation cannot explain the evolution of the Er profile in the second stage as observed in the experiment.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

In recent years, the resonant magnetic perturbation (RMP) field has been used for an active control of the edge-localized mode [1–3] or for the study of the field penetration [4, 5]. It has been observed in these experiments that the plasma rotation or radial electric field (Er) can be changed with the application of the RMP field. The radial electric field plays an important role in magnetically confined plasma. Firstly, the Er (or rotation) itself can stabilize the MHD instabilities. Secondly, the Er shear can suppress the small scale plasma turbulence and thus improve the plasma confinement [6]. It is important to study how the RMP field changes the radial electric field and to investigate the mechanism responsible for this Er change.

The TEXTOR tokamak has been equipped with the dynamic ergodic divertor (DED) [7] in order to study the interaction between the RMP field and plasma transport and stability. The DED consists of 16 in-vessel coils, which wind helically around the inner side of the torus with a pitch corresponding to the magnetic field lines on the magnetic flux surface with a safety factor (q) of 3. A static, co-rotating or counter-rotating RMP field with a frequency up to 10 kHz can be induced by the DED. Here, the co-rotating field means that the DED-field rotates in the ion diamagnetic drift (IDD) direction, i.e. in the co-current direction toroidally while the counter-rotating field indicates a perturbation rotating in the electron diamagnetic drift (EDD) direction, i.e. in the counter-current direction toroidally. The base mode numbers of the DED-field can be selected as m/n = 12/4, 6/2 and 3/1 by
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changing the coil connections to the power supply. In the
\( m/n = 3/1 \) configuration, where the present experiment is
conducted, the DED produces a perturbation with a toroidal
mode number (\( n \)) of 1 and poloidal mode numbers (\( m \)) in the
range 1–6 [8].

The previous experiments on TEXTOR have shown that
when a static, co-rotating or counter-rotating RMP field with a
frequency of about 1 kHz was applied in plasma, the change in
the toroidal rotation was always in the co-current direction,
as long as the tearing mode was not excited [5, 9]. This
rotation change has been attributed to the stochasticization of
the magnetic fields in the plasma edge after the application
of the RMP field. The enhanced radial transport of the
electrons in the stochastic region leads to a charge separation
of the RMP field. The enhanced radial transport of the
magnetic fields in the plasma edge after the application
rotation change has been attributed to the stochastization of
the magnetic field by Langmuir probes [10] and a poloidal correlation
reflectometry (PCR) [11] showed that the change in the
field by Langmuir probes [10] and a poloidal correlation
reflectometry (PCR) [11] showed that the change in the
Er change is different from those
observed in previous experiments on TEXTOR as discussed
in the last paragraph. To further understand the mechanism
responsible for this Er change, the experimental results have
been compared with the simulation performed by a reduced
cylindrical MHD code, 4FC [15].

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we briefly
describe the experimental setup and present the experimental
results. The comparisons between experiment and simulation
are given in section 3 followed by a discussion in section 4.
We conclude in section 5.

2. Experimental setup and results

2.1. Experimental setup

The experiment presented here was performed on the TEXTOR
tokamak. TEXTOR is a circular, medium sized, limiter
tokamak with a major radius (\( R_0 \)) of 1.75 m and a minor
radius (\( a \)) of 0.47 m. A counter-rotating RMP field with a
frequency of 5 kHz, produced by supplying ac currents to the
DED coils in the \( m/n = 3/1 \) configuration, has been applied in ohmic plasmas. It
was found that the change in \( E_r \), measured by the PCR
[12–14], is negative with the application of the 5 kHz counter-
rotating field. This \( E_r \) change is different from those
observed in previous experiments on TEXTOR as discussed
in the last paragraph. To further understand the mechanism
responsible for this \( E_r \) change, the experimental results have
been compared with the simulation performed by a reduced cylindrical MHD code, 4FC [15].
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describe the experimental setup and present the experimental
results. The comparisons between experiment and simulation
are given in section 3 followed by a discussion in section 4.
We conclude in section 5.

2. Experimental setup and results

2.1. Experimental setup

The experiment presented here was performed on the TEXTOR
tokamak. TEXTOR is a circular, medium sized, limiter
tokamak with a major radius (\( R_0 \)) of 1.75 m and a minor
radius (\( a \)) of 0.47 m. A counter-rotating RMP field with a
frequency of 5 kHz, produced by supplying ac currents to the
DED coils in the \( m/n = 3/1 \) configuration, has been applied in ohmic plasmas. It
was found that the change in \( E_r \), measured by the PCR
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This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we briefly
describe the experimental setup and present the experimental
results. The comparisons between experiment and simulation
are given in section 3 followed by a discussion in section 4.
We conclude in section 5.
2.2. Influence of the 5 kHz counter-rotating RMP field on the radial electric field

An overview of the experiment is shown in figure 1. The DED coil current ($I_{\text{DED}}$), inducing the counter-rotating RMP field, is applied at 1.5 s, then ramps up to 0.56 kA in 2 s and stays at the flattop for 0.5 s as shown in figure 1(c), where only the amplitude of this oscillating current is shown. When the $I_{\text{DED}}$ ramps up to about 0.4 kA at the time of 2.85 s, an $m/n = 2/1$ tearing mode is excited and the core temperature, measured by the electron cyclotron emission (ECE) diagnostics [18], shows an abrupt decrease while the density feedback control. Figure 1(d) shows the evolutions of the $E_r$ at three different radial positions. Before the application of the rotating field, the radial electric fields are negative. This negative $E_r$ points from the plasma edge to the core and induces an $E_r \times B$ velocity in the EDD direction. As the $I_{\text{DED}}$ increases, the $E_r$ slowly decreases. This means that the $E_r$ becomes more negative with the application of the 5 kHz counter-rotating field.

Figure 2(a) shows the time evolutions of $\Delta E_r$. Here, $\Delta E_r$ is the change in the radial electric field, defined by $\Delta E_r = E_r^{\text{RMP}} - E_r^0$, where $E_r^{\text{RMP}}$ ($E_r^0$) is the radial electric field with (before) the application of the rotating field. Figure 2(b) shows the profiles of the $E_r$ at different time slices. The $E_r$ profile shows two distinct evolution stages. In the first stage, i.e. from 1.5 to 2.75 s, the $\Delta E_r$ at all radial positions have a similar decrease and thus the profile of the $E_r$ shifts downside as a whole as seen in figure 2(b). In the second stage, i.e. from 2.75 to 3.5 s, the $\Delta E_r$ at these positions have different decrease rates, which are faster for the positions closer to the $q = 2$ surface. In particular, the $\Delta E_r$ at the two outer positions, i.e. $r/a = 0.77$ and $r/a = 0.79$, nearly have no changes in this second stage. Thus the evolutions of the $E_r$ profile are distinct from those in the first stage. It can be seen in figure 2(b) that the values of the $E_r$ at the two outer positions in the second stage are the same as those at the time of 2.75 s while the value of the $E_r$ at the inner position around $r/a = 0.55$ decreases from about $-4.2$ to $-8$ kV m$^{-1}$ in the second stage.

By applying the 5 kHz counter-rotating RMP field in the ohmic plasmas, the change in the $E_r$ is negative even before the 2/1 mode excitation as shown in figure 2(a). This result is different from the observations in previous experiments with the application of a static or low frequency ($\sim 1$ kHz) rotating RMP field on TEXTOR, where the changes in the $E_r$ were always positive. The observation of the 2/1 mode excitation in the present experiment implies that this negative $\Delta E_r$ with the application of the 5 kHz counter-rotating field may be due to the resonant EM force [19–23] localized around the $q = 2$ surface. In order to improve our understanding, the experimental results have been compared with the simulation performed by the reduced MHD code 4FC.

3. Comparisons between the experiment and the 4FC simulations

The 4FC code, first introduced in [15], solves a reduced model of the four-field equations [24] by omitting the curvature term. In this work, equations (1)–(4) in [15] have been solved by the 4FC for the comparisons. The simulation is quasi-linear in the sense that the perturbation harmonic can, by interacting with themselves, modify the equilibrium profiles. More details of the 4FC code can be found in [15] and the limitations of this quasi-linear code are discussed in section 4.2. The input profiles in the 4FC for this work are shown in figure 3. The pressure ($p_e$) profile is formed using the density profile measured by an HCN interferometer and a constant electron temperature ($T_e$) of 0.8 keV since the $T_e$ was assumed to be constant in deducing the four-field equations in [24]. The choice of the specific value of $T_e$ is discussed in section 4.1. The $\eta$ is the Spitzer resistivity with a neoclassical modification [25]. The $q$ profile is assumed to take a form of $q = q(0)[1 + (r/a)^{q(a)}]$ and determined by considering $q(0)$, $q(a)$ and the position of $q = 2$, where $q(0)$ and $q(a)$ are the core and edge safety factors, respectively. Since the sawtooth is observed before the application of the rotating field, $q(0)$ is less than 1. In the present simulations, $q(0)$ is taken to be 0.65. Further discussion on the choice of $q(0)$ can be found in section 4.1. The $B_t$ and $I_p$ determine a cylindrical $q(a)$ of 4.7.
The $q = 2$ surface is between $r/a = 0.53$ and $r/a = 0.62$ which is confirmed from the inversion of a 5 kHz oscillation in the ECE signal after the 2/1 mode excitation. Here, we set the surface of $q = 2$ at $r/a = 0.59$. The current ($j$) profile is derived from the $q$ profile. With this current profile, both the 2/1 and 3/1 modes are stable before the application of the rotating field in the 4FC code. The electric potential ($\phi$) profile is derived from the $E_r$, which is formed by fitting the experimental values of the $E_r$ with a third order polynomial. The input parallel velocities are set to zero at all radial positions. The coefficients of the perpendicular, parallel viscosity and perpendicular heat transport are set to be the same value $8 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ everywhere. This value is similar to that estimated from the turbulence measurement (figure 15 in [11]).

The region for the numerical calculation is from $r/a = 0$ to $r/a = 1$. The boundary conditions in the present simulations are as follows:

1. At $r/a = 0$, the first derivative of all quantities are set to zero.
2. At $r/a = 1$, all the equilibrium quantities ($m/n = 0/0$) take the same values as the initial equilibrium ones.
3. At $r/a = 1$, all the perturbations ($m/n \neq 0/0$) are zero except for the perturbed magnetic flux ($\psi_{mn}$). The condition for $\psi_{mn}$ at $r/a = 1$ is

$$\frac{3\psi_{mn}(r/a=1) + m}{r} \psi_{mn}(r/a=1) = \frac{2m}{r} \psi_{mn}(t)|_{r/a=1}$$

$$= \frac{2m}{r} \psi_0 t \frac{1}{t_{\text{col}}} \exp(-iu) |_{r/a=1}$$

which is a mixture of Dirichlet and Neumann conditions derived in [15]. Here, the term $t/t_{\text{col}}$ mimics the ramping up of the field amplitude in the experiment (figure 1(c)) where $t_{\text{col}}$ is a constant time, the term $\exp(-iu)$ denotes a rotating field with an angular frequency of $w$ and $\psi_0$ a constant magnetic flux.

Since the 2/1 mode excitation is observed in the experiment, the first simulation is by applying an $m/n = 2/1$ perturbation in the 4FC code.

3.1. The comparison by applying a single 2/1 perturbation in the 4FC

An overview of the simulation by applying a single 2/1 perturbation with a frequency of 5 kHz in the 4FC is shown in figure 4. The amplitude of the $\psi_{21}^{\text{MC}}(t)$ in equation (1), indicating the strength of the 2/1 perturbation, slowly ramps up to $2.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ T m}$ in a time of a 0.9$t_{\text{R}}$. Here, $t_{\text{R}}$ is the resistive diffusion time defined by $t_{\text{R}} = \mu_0 a^2/\eta_{\text{q}=2}$ where $\mu_0$ is the vacuum permeability and $\eta_{\text{q}=2}$ the resistivity at the $q = 2$ surface. Figure 4(b) shows that the normalized width of the 2/1 magnetic island has a jump from less than 0.01 to 0.05 at about $t/t_{\text{R}} = 0.63$, which is indicated as the time of the 2/1 mode excitation.

Figure 5(a) shows the evolutions of the $\Delta E_r$ at the radial positions where the $E_r$ are measured in the experiment and figure 5(b) shows the profiles of the $E_r$ at different time slices. With the application of the 2/1 perturbation, the $E_r$ becomes more negative. This observation is consistent with
the experimental result. However, the second evolution stage of the experimental $E_r$ profile, where the $E_r$ has a significant decrease around the $q = 2$ surface, while there is no change of the $E_r$ around the $q = 3$ surface (section 2.2), is not observed in this simulation.

3.2. The comparison by applying 2/1 and 3/1 perturbations in the 4FC

Considering the fact that there is a strong $m/n = 3/1$ perturbation in the field induced by the DED in the $m/n = 3/1$ configuration, we have performed another simulation by applying both 2/1 and 3/1 perturbations in the 4FC code. An overview of this simulation is shown in figure 6. The amplitudes of the 2/1 and 3/1 perturbations are set to be the same in this simulation. This is consistent with the fact that the strengths of the 2/1 and 3/1 components in the DED-field are comparable at the plasma edge when the DED is operated in the $m/n = 3/1$ configuration [8]. The 2/1 mode is excited at the time of $t/t_R = 0.83$ while the 3/1 mode excitation happens at the beginning of the application of the 3/1 perturbation. Figure 7 shows the evolutions of the MHD frequencies ($f_{m/n}$) [4]. Here, $f_{m/n}$ is defined by $f_{m/n} = \left[\frac{m}{2\pi} \left( E_r + \nabla \psi / B \right) \right]_{r=r_c}$, where $r_c$ indicates the radius of the mode resonant surface. It can be seen from the figure that the 3/1 MHD mode frequency before the application of the perturbation is about $4.85$ kHz, very close to the frequency of the counter-rotating field, i.e. $\omega_c = -5$ kHz. This explains why the 3/1 mode is very easy to excite in the simulation.

Figure 8(a) shows the evolutions of the $\Delta E_r$ and figure 8(b) shows the $E_r$ profiles. The evolutions of the $E_r$ profile, like the experimental observations, also have two distinct stages. In the first stage from $t/t_R = 0$ to $t/t_R = 0.3$, the $\Delta E_r$ at all positions show a similar decrease although this decrease mainly happens from $t/t_R = 0$ to $t/t_R = 0.1$ due to the 3/1 mode excitation. However, in the second stage after $t/t_R = 0.3$, the decrease rates of the $\Delta E_r$ are faster for the positions closer to the $q = 2$ surface. Further, the $E_r$ at the two outer positions, i.e. $r/a = 0.77$ and $r/a = 0.79$, nearly have no changes in this second stage even after the 2/1 mode excitation. These results are qualitatively consistent with the experimental observations as discussed in section 2.2.

4. Discussion

4.1. Discussion on the results

The 4FC code has been used to help understand the mechanism responsible for the $E_r$ change in our experiment. In the 4FC,
the mechanism responsible for the $E_r$ change can be seen from the equation of the vorticity ($U$) [15],

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \langle \tilde{\psi} \cdot \tilde{U} \rangle + \langle \tilde{j} \cdot \tilde{\psi} \rangle = v_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 (\tilde{U} - \tilde{U}_b)$$  (2)

where the bar indicates an equilibrium ($m/n = 0/0$) quantity, the tilde indicates a perturbed ($m/n \neq 0/0$) quantity and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ represents the flux surface average. The term in the right-hand side is related to the viscous force. The third term in the left-hand side, expressed using Poisson's bracket, is related to the $J \times B$ EM force [19–23] in which mainly the force in the poloidal direction is responsible for the $E_r$ change in the four-field model [24]. The second term in the left-hand side, related to the Reynolds stress, has no contribution to the $E_r$ change in the present simulations. It should be noted that the Reynolds stress may be important in the process of the RMP field penetration as shown in [26]. This EM force, localized around the resonant surface due to the direct coupling between the RMP fields and plasma [19], always tries to make the resonant mode rest in the frame of the external RMP field. Since both of the MHD frequencies for the 2/1 and 3/1 modes are larger than $-5$ kHz, the frequency of the external field (figure 7), the resonant EM forces around the $q = 2$ or $q = 3$ surface with the application of the rotating field will reduce the $E_r$ as observed in the experiment (figure 2) and in the simulations (figures 5 and 8).

The first evolution stage of the $E_r$ profile in the experiment has been observed in both simulations in section 3. However, the second evolution stage of the experimental $E_r$ profile can only be observed in the second simulation where both the 2/1 and 3/1 perturbation are applied in the 4FC (figure 8). Figure 9 shows the radial profiles of the EM force density ($F_{j \times B}$) at different time slices in this second simulation. In this figure, a positive force leads to a negative $E_r$. At an early time, e.g. $t/t_R = 0.05$, a positive force localizes on the $q = 3$ surface although no force is observed on the $q = 2$ surface. This positive force on the $q = 3$ surface is responsible for the change in the $E_r$ profiles in the first stage of the simulation as discussed in section 3.2. After the 3/1 mode excitation, the force on the $q = 3$ surface becomes negative as seen from the profile of $F_{j \times B}$ at $t/t_R = 0.2$ in figure 9 and a positive force on the $q = 2$ surface appears. As the amplitudes of the perturbations increase, this positive force on the $q = 2$ surface, responsible for the $E_r$ change in the second stage of the simulation discussed in 3.2, increases while the strength of the negative force on the $q = 3$ surface also increases. This increasing negative EM force balances the increasing viscous force around the $q = 3$ surface so that the $E_r$ around the $q = 3$ surface cannot be changed in the second stage.

Based on the results of the simulations, a possible explanation of the $E_r$ evolutions in the experiment can be given. With the application of the 5 kHz counter-rotating RMP field, the changes in the $E_r$ from the $q = 2$ to $q = 3$ surface are similar, mainly due to a positive resonant EM force on the $q = 3$ surface. After the 3/1 mode excitation, the $E_r$ around the $q = 3$ surface cannot be changed due to the balance between a negative EM force and the viscous force around this surface while the $E_r$ inside the $q = 3$ surface can be further reduced due to the localized EM force on the $q = 2$ surface. In this explanation, edge stochastization is not necessary. The theory in [27] predicts that a counter-rotating RMP field could induce negative electric field even in the stochastic region. Since there is no evidence of stochastization or no stochastization based on the present experimental result, the contribution of the stochastization to the change in the $E_r$ observed in the first stage cannot be excluded. Nevertheless, the evolution of $E_r$ in the second stage clearly indicates the localized resonant force around the $q = 2$ surface as observed in the simulations.

In the above explanation of the $E_r$ evolution, the excitation of the 3/1 mode is needed to explain why the $E_r$ around the $q = 3$ surface has no change after 2.75 s in the experiment as shown in figure 2. In the experiment, no drop in the $T_e$ and no flattening of the $T_e$ profile around the $q = 3$ surface are observed before the excitation of the 2/1 mode at 2.85 s (figure 1(b)). According to the theory in [28], there is a critical island width below which the island gives rise to no local flattening of the electron temperature profile. This critical island width ($W_c$), given in [28], is estimated to be

$$\frac{W_c}{a} \sim \left( \frac{\kappa_\perp}{\kappa_i} \right)^{1/4} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon \eta n_i} \right)^{1/2}$$  (3)

where $\kappa_\perp$ is the perpendicular heat diffusivity, $\kappa_i$ is the parallel heat diffusivity, $\epsilon$ is the inverse aspect ratio, $s = rq/q$ is the magnetic shear and $n$ is the toroidal mode number. A simple estimation of the critical width for the 3/1 island gives $W_c/a \sim 0.041$ by taking $\kappa_\perp = 8 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $\kappa_i \sim v_{Te} \lambda_i \sim v_{Te} q R_0 = 3.1 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ with $T_e = 0.2 \text{ keV}$ at the $q = 3$ surface measured by the ECE diagnostics in the experiment. Here, $v_{Te} = \sqrt{T_e/m_e}$ is the electron thermal velocity and $\lambda_i$ the parallel connection length. Figure 6(c) shows that the normalized 3/1 island width in the second simulation is smaller than 0.4, which is lower than the critical width estimated using equation (3). This may explain why no drop in the $T_e$ and no flattening of the $T_e$ profile around the $q = 3$ surface are observed in the experiment. On the other hand, the critical width for the 2/1 island is estimated to be $W_c/a \sim 0.047$ using $\kappa_\perp \sim 2.9 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ (with $T_e = 0.4 \text{ keV}$ on the $q = 2$ surface) in equation (3). Figure 6(b) shows that the normalized 2/1 island width after mode excitation, e.g. at $t/t_R = 0.9$, is about 0.055, which is larger than the critical island width.
According to the theory in [28], this size 2/1 island can induce the flattening or decrease in \( T \) around the \( q = 2 \) surface as observed in the experiment.

Lastly, the choice of the specific value of the input temperature and \( q(0) \) in the simulations should be discussed. The four-field model in [24] was deduced based on the assumption of a constant temperature. The equation of the pressure in the model in fact came from the density evolution equation. By considering this, a constant temperature of 0.8 keV has been used in the present simulations. We have performed another simulation using \( T_e = 0.4 \) keV, the temperature at the \( q = 2 \) surface and \( T_e = 0.5 \) keV, the volume-averaged temperature. The results show that the different input temperatures do not change the main findings: the second evolution stage of the \( E_r \) profile observed in the experiment can only be seen in the simulation by applying both 2/1 and 3/1 perturbations in the 4FC code. In the present work, we have assumed that the \( q \) profile takes the form \( q = q(0)[1 + (r/a)^\alpha]^\beta \). On TEXTOR, the core safety factor is generally larger than 0.5 [29]. It is found that only the profiles with \( q(0) < 0.75 \) are free of the 2/1 and 3/1 unstable modes before the application of the RMP field. Considering the fact that the tearing mode linear stability parameter is sensitive to the \( q \) profile, other simulations using the \( q \) profiles with \( q(0) = 0.5 \) and \( q(0) = 0.7 \) have been performed. The results show that the above mentioned main findings are not changed using different values of \( q(0) \) in the 4FC code.

4.2. Limitations of the present 4FC modelling

The neoclassical effects are believed to be responsible for the radial electric field profile as shown in [30–36]. The present simulations performed by the 4FC code are without neoclassical effects so they might not contain all the physics. As previously stated, the \( E_r \) change in the 4FC code is mainly related to the poloidal force. In this work, the neoclassical poloidal flow damping effect [37] is not included, thus it is expected that the simulations will give smaller penetration thresholds than those in the experiment according to the theory in [20]. Figure 6(b) shows that the penetration threshold for 2/1 mode in the second simulation is about 1 G calculated using the formula \( b_r = \frac{r}{a}\psi \), where \( b_r \) is the perturbed radial magnetic field. This threshold value is about one order smaller than that, approximately 10 G [38], in the experiment.

Since a cylindrical geometry is assumed in the 4FC modelling, it is certain that the physics of the toroidal mode coupling cannot be captured by the present simulations. In cylindrical geometry, an \( m/n = 2/1 \) RMP field can only exert a torque at the \( q = 2 \) rational surface. In toroidal plasmas, however, an \( m/n = 2/1 \) field can exert a torque on the \( q = 3 \) surface due to the coupling of different poloidal harmonics. This kind of geometry effect could be important for the RMP physics, as noted in analytical theory [39] and numerical calculation [40].
As shown in Rutherford’s paper [41] and more recently in [42, 43], the nonlinear evolution requires multiple harmonics for the perturbed current density while the magnetic flux can be approximated with only one harmonic. This implies that the correct nonlinear evolution of the magnetic island can be properly simulated only when a sufficient number of poloidal harmonics are taken into account. This has been tested and validated by some simulations, see, for example, [44]. Due to the quasi-linear nature, the present 4FC model cannot properly treat the nonlinear evolution of island saturation. On the other hand, we believe the nonlinear island saturation physics are not playing key roles in the rotating RMP experiment. The key physics in the experiment are that (i) the frequency of the excited tearing mode matches the RMP frequency; and (ii) the EM force and the viscous force [20]. The analysis has shown that the frequency spectrum of the magnetic flux in our simulations actually displays a mode with a frequency of 5 kHz. This indicates the first key physics outlined above can be captured by the present modelling. The discussion in section 4.1 shows that the 4FC can also well capture the second key physics to a good degree. These explain why the present modelling can qualitatively reproduce the experimental results. Furthermore, other simulations have been performed by applying 0 kHz, counter-rotating 1 kHz and co-rotating 1 kHz RMP fields in the 4FC code, respectively. The results show that the changes in \( E_r \) are positive with the applications of the three fields. Figure 10 shows the \( E_r \) profiles when a static field or 1 kHz counter-rotating field is applied in the 4FC code. The positive changes in \( E_r \) observed in these simulations are consistent with the previous experimental observations with the applications of static, counter-rotating or co-rotating fields on TEXTOR [5, 9].

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the radial electric field in ohmic plasma has been changed with the application of a 5 kHz counter-rotating RMP field, induced by the DED in the \( m/n = 3/1 \) configuration on TEXTOR. Two distinct evolution stages of the \( E_r \) profile have been determined in the experiment. In the first stage, the \( E_r \) profile from the \( q = 2 \) to \( q = 3 \) surface shifts downside as a whole as the amplitude of the DED-field increases. In the second stage, the \( E_r \) around the \( q = 2 \) surface have significant decreases while the \( E_r \) around the \( q = 3 \) surface have no changes even after the excitation of an \( m/n = 2/1 \) tearing mode. The 4FC, a reduced MHD code, has been used for the comparison between experiment and simulation. Two simulations have been performed. In the first simulation, a single 2/1 perturbation is applied in the 4FC. The result shows that the second evolution stage of the \( E_r \) profile cannot be observed in this simulation. However, when the 2/1 and 3/1 perturbations are applied in the 4FC in the second simulation, the two distinct evolution stages are observed. A possible explanation on the evolutions of the \( E_r \) profile in the experiment has been given: the evolution of the \( E_r \) profile in the first stage is due to a positive EM force at the \( q = 3 \) surface or even from a contribution of edge stochastization while the \( E_r \) profile evolution in the second stage is attributed to a positive EM force on the \( q = 2 \) surface along with a negative EM force on the \( q = 3 \) force.
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